



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,774	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14826US02	6260

23446	7590	09/19/2007
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD		
500 WEST MADISON STREET		
SUITE 3400		
CHICAGO, IL 60661		

EXAMINER	
PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2136	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/675,774

Applicant(s)

KARAOGUZ ET AL.

Examiner

Pramila Parthasarathy

Art Unit

2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on February 27, 2007 have been fully considered.

With respect to amended and new Claims, applicant primarily argues that Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,974,453) does not anticipate “acquiring a security code”, “receiving media containing said security code from said first communication device” and translating said security code to an IP address corresponding to said second communication device” (see remarks pages 13 – page 21). These arguments are not persuasive.

Instant application specification discloses “security code” as “security information may be a one-time certificate and security information may comprise a device ID, a public key, a code... ” (Paragraph 0042) and “PIN may be obtained via telephone number associated with the media” (Paragraph 0061).

Examiner now points out that the admitted prior art Anderson, in fact, discloses, “dynamically assigned IP address and the static identifier (Patent’s telephone number corresponding to PIN of the instant claims) ...” and in particular Anderson discloses translating static identifier (PIN) corresponding to an IP address (dynamically assigning Internet address) at the same provides flexibility to use the telephone number to create dynamic IP address (Column 3 line 20 – Column 8 line 63)

Therefore, the examiner respectfully asserts that the cited prior art does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in amended and new independent claims. The dependent claims are rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on the

Art Unit: 2136

dependent claims. Accordingly, the rejection for the pending claims is respectfully maintained.

Examiner suggests applicant to amend the claims in a manner to distinct applicant's invention with prior art with **attention** given to the specification paragraphs [0066 – 0067 and 0107 – 0109].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1 – 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,974,453).
5. As per Claims 1, 5 and 9, Anderson teaches, “acquiring a security code from a second communication device by a first communication device (Column 3 lines 20 – 28);

receiving media containing said security code from said first communication device (Column 3 lines 29 – 41);

translating said security code to an IP address corresponding to said second communication device (Column 4 lines 28 – 34); and

routing said received media to said second communication device based on said IP address of said second communication device, said IP address of said second communication device remaining anonymous to said first communication device.” (Column 4 lines 28 – 34 and Column 6 line 57 – Column 7 line 4).

Art Unit: 2136

6. As per Claims 14, 20 and 26, Anderson teaches, "receiving a security code from a first communication device desiring to communicate with a second communication device;

transferring security information associated with said second communication device to said first communication device (Column 7 lines 21 – 46);

receiving media along with at least a portion of said transferred security information from said first communication device (Column 7 lines 21 – 64); and

if said security information from said first communication device is valid, transferring said media from said first communication device to said second communication device." (Column 7 lines 21 – 64).

7. As per Claims 2, 6, 10, 16, 22 and 28, Anderson teaches, "security code is a pin code" (Column 5 lines 25 – 47).

8. As per Claims 3, 7 and 11, Anderson teaches, "limiting a duration for which said security code is valid to at least one of time and a number of uses" (Column 4 lines 28 – 43).

9. As per Claims 4, 8, 12, 17, 23 and 29, Anderson teaches, "obtaining said acquired security code out-of-band" (Column 3 lines 29 – 41).

10. As per Claims 15, 21 and 27, Anderson teaches, "security information is a one-time certificate" (Column 7 lines 21 – 27).

11. As per Claims 18, 24 and 30, Anderson teaches, "temporarily storing said received media until said security information received from said first communication device is validated" (Column 4 lines 28 – 34).

Art Unit: 2136

12. As per Claims 19, 25 and 31, Anderson teaches, "translating said at least a portion of said transferred security information received from said first communication device into an IP address of said second device, said transferring of said media from said first communication device to said second communication device utilizing said IP address of said second device, said IP address of said second communication device remaining anonymous to said first communication device" (Column 4 lines 44 – 61).

13. As per Claims 13 and 32, Anderson teaches, "at least one processor is at least one of a server, a media exchange server and a proxy server" (Column 4 lines 11 – 27).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


Art Unit: 2136

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pramila Parthasarathy whose telephone number is 571-272-3866. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a.m. To 5:00p.m.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-232-4195. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pramila Parthasarathy
September 15, 2007.

NASSER MOAZZAMI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


9/17/07